BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04855 2152

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS IN CANADA

Cases and Materials

(Fourth Edition 1992-1993)

VOLUME THREE

M. David Lepofsky

Jamie Cameron

Christopher Bredt

c Copyright 1990

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP 27 2000
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS IN CANADA

Cases and Materials

(Fourth Edition 1992-1993)

VOLUME THREE

M. David Lepofsky

Jamie Cameron

Christopher Bredt

c Copyright 1990

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/freedomofexpress03lepo_1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

INTRODUCTION — 1

CHAPTER 2

BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHARTER INTERPRETATION

page

1. INTRODUCTION 8

2. BASIC APPROACH TO CHARTER INTERPRETATION -- THE ROLE OF THE COURTS 8

Law Society of Upper Canada v. Skalpinker, [1984] 1 S.C.R. 357. 8

Reference re. Section 94(2) of the Motor Vehicle Act, R.S.B.C. 1979, c. 288 (B.C. Motor Vehicle Reference), [1985] 2 S.C.R. 486. 9

3. PURPOSIVE APPROACH TO THE CHARTER 10

Hunter v. Southam Inc., [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145. 10

4. UNCONSTITUTIONAL PURPOSE AND EFFECTS OF A LAW 12

a) Purpose and effect in the adjudication of substantive rights-bearing provisions: 12

R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295. 12

R. v. Jones, [1986] 2 S.C.R. 284. 13

b) Purpose and effect in adjudication under s. 1 of the Charter: 14

R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. 14

5. TEST FOR APPLYING SECTION 1 14

(a) Reasonable and Demonstrably Justified Limits

R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. 15

R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103. 15

R. v. Edwards Books and Art Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 713. 18

(b) Elaborations on the Standard 19

Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec A.-G. [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927 19

R. v. Chaulk, [1990] 3 S.C.R. 1303 20

McKinney v. University of Guelph, [1990] 3 S.C.R. 229 24

(c) Prescribed by Law 29

Re Ontario Film and Video Appreciation Society and Ontario Board of Censors, (1983) 41 O.R. (2d) 583 29

Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038 30

R. v. Nova Scotia Pharmaceutical Society, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 606 31

6. CONTEXTUAL AND NON-CONTEXTUAL APPROACHES TO CHARTER ADJUDICATION 34

Edmonton Journal v. Alberta (Attorney General), [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1326. 34

7. STANDING TO SEEK CHARTER RELIEF 36

R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. 37

Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1989] 1. S.C.R. 927. 39

8. APPLICATION OF CHARTER -- GOVERNMENT ACTION VERSUS PRIVATE ACTION 40

R.W.D.S.U v. Dolphin Delivery, [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573. 40

9. REMEDIES FOR CHARTER INFRINGEMENT 43

Hunter v. Southam, supra. 43

R. v. Gayme; R. v. Seaboyer, (1991) 83 D.L.R. (4th) 193 44

Schacter v. A.-G. Canada, (1992) 93 D.L.R. (4th) 1 47

CHAPTER 3

PRE-CHARTER CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

1. INTRODUCTION 52

2. IMPLIED BILL OF RIGHTS JURISPRUDENCE 52

Reference re Alberta Statutes, [1938] S.C.R. 100. 53

Boucher v. The King, [1951] S.C.R. 265. 54

Saumur v. Quebec, [1953] 2 S.C.R. 299. 55

Switzman v. Elbling, [1957] S.C.R. 285. 56

McKay v. the Queen, [1965] S.C.R. 798. 57

Re Nova Scotia Board of Censors and McNeil, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 662. 57

A.-G. Canada v. Dupond, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 770. 58

A.-G. Can. v. Law Society of B.C.; Jabour v. Law Society of B.C., [1982] 2 S.C.R. 308. 59

Notes and Questions 60

3. THE CANADIAN BILL OF RIGHTS 61

Notes and Questions 62

CHAPTER 4

PURPOSES AND FUNCTIONS OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

page

1. INTRODUCTION 66

2. LEARNED COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION 66

John Stuart Mill; On Liberty; (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1985). 66

Alexander Meiklejohn; Free Speech and Its Relation to Self-Government; (New York: Kennikat Press, 1972). 68

Thomas I. Emerson, "Toward a General Theory of the First Amendment", 72 Yale L.J. 877 (1963). 73

Vincent Blasi; "The Checking Value in First Amendment Theory" (1977) American Bar Foundation Research Journal 521. 82

Notes and Questions 85

3. THE HOLMES CORRESPONDENCE 86

Notes and Questions 90

4. JUDICIAL COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION 91

a) The Charter's Purposes Generally: 91

R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103. 91

b) The Purposes of Freedom of Expression: 92

Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919). 92

Notes and Questions 95

Reference re. Alberta Statutes [the Alberta Press case], [1938] S.C.R. 100. 95

Notes and Questions 97

R.W.D.S.U. v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573. 98

Ford v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712. 102

Notes and Questions 103

Canada (A.G.) v. Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 139 107

CHAPTER 5

THE FREE SPEECH AND PRESS CLAUSES OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

page

1. INTRODUCTION 116

2. INTRODUCTION TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION 116

Law Society of Upper Canada; Public Law Teaching Materials -- Bar Admission Course,

1988-89, Chapter 19: "Litigating Charter Claims: Legal, Factual and Evidentiary Ammunition". 116

3. USING AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE IN CHARTER CASES 117

M.David Lepofsky; Open Justice: The Constitutional Right to Attend and Speak About Court Proceedings; (Toronto: Butterworth's, 1985). 119

4. THE EARLY YEARS -- EVOLVING THE CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER TEST 122

Schenck v. United States, 249 U.S. 47 (1919). 112

Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919). 123

Whitney v. California, 47 S.Ct. 641 (1927). 125

Dennis v. United States, 341 U.S. 494 (1951). 128

Brandenberg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444 (1968) 140

5. CONTENT-NEUTRAL TIME, PLACE, AND MANNER RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION 145

Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92 (1972). 145

6. PURPOSIVE AND EFFECTS-BASED LIMITS ON FREE SPEECH 148

Schneider v. State of New Jersey, 60 S.Ct. 146 (1940). 148

United States v. O'Brien, 391 U.S. 367 (1968). 149

7. THE SPECIAL POSITION OF PRIOR RESTRAINTS ON EXPRESSION 151

Near v. State of Minnesota, 51 S.Ct. 625 (1931). 151

8. FIRST AMENDMENT OVERBREADTH DOCTRINE 154

Broadrick v. Oklahoma, 413 U.S. 601 (1973). 154

Notes 161

CHAPTER 6

THE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE CHARTER

A general Inquiry —

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	164 B
2.	GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION ENUNCIATED UNDER THE CHARTER	164 C
	R.W.D.S.U., Local 580 v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573.	164 C
	Notes and Questions	166
	Ford v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712.	167
	Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927.	168
	Notes and Questions	180
3.	COMPELLED SPEECH -- A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY	187
	Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038.	187
	Notes and Questions	189
	Lavigne v. O.P.S.E.U., [1991] 2 S.C.R. 211	190
	Notes and Questions	199
4.	THE AMERICAN APPROACH TO THE PRIMA FACIE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	199
	Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, 315 U.S. 568 (1942).	200
	Notes and Questions	201
5.	THE U.S. DISTINCTION BETWEEN SPEECH AND CONDUCT	202
	United States v. O'Brien, 391 U.S. 367 (1968).	203
	Notes and Questions	205

Texas v. Johnson, 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989). 206

Notes and Questions 208

Rust, et al. v. Sullivan, 111 S. Ct. 1759 (1991) 210

Notes and Questions 219

CHAPTER 7

SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC EXPRESSION

page

1. **INTRODUCTION** 221

2. **NOTE: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE OFFICIAL REGULATION OF THE CONTENT OF EXPRESSION** 221

3. **CANADIAN LAW ON SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH** 225

Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-46. 226

Notes and questions 226

4. **THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH** 228

Cohen v. California, 403 U.S. 215 (1971). 228

Notes and Questions 233

Texas v. Johnson, 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989). 235

Notes and questions 251

CHAPTER 8

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

page

1. **INTRODUCTION** 255

2. **BACKGROUND TO THE CANADIAN APPROACH** 255

Freedom and Security under the Law, the Second Report of the Commission of Inquiry

Concerning Certain Activities of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (August, 1981). 255

Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23. — 256

3. PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF MATERIALS THREATENING TO NATIONAL SECURITY 256

New York Times v. United States, 91 S.Ct. 2140 (1971). 256

U.S. v. Progressive Inc., 467 F.Supp. 990 (W.D. Wis. 1979). 260

4. DO SPIES HAVE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION? 261

Snepp v. U.S., 100 S.Ct. 763 (1980). 261

CHAPTER 9
FALSE AND DEFAMATORY EXPRESSION

page

1. INTRODUCTION 265

2. CANADIAN LEGISLATION 266

Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985 c. C-46, Part VIII -- Offences Against the Person. 266

Libel and Slander Act, R.S.O. 1980 c. 237. 270

3. U.S POSITION UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT 273

New York Times Co. v. Sullivan 376 U.S. 254 (1964). 273

Notes and questions 281

Hustler Magazine v. Falwell 108 S.Ct. 876 (1988). 282

Notes and questions 286

4. THE CANADIAN APPROACH 287

a) The Charter and Individual Civil Defamation Claims: 287

Coates v. The Citizen et al. (1988),

44 C.C.L.T., 286 (N.S.T.D.). 287

Notes and Questions 293

b) – The Charter and Criminal Proceedings — Over Questions of Truth and Falsehood: 297

The Queen v. Zundel, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 731. 297

Notes and questions 305

CHAPTER 10

CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL EXPRESSION

page

1. INTRODUCTION 310

2. COMMERCIAL EXPRESSION AND SECTION 2(b) 312

Ford v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1988], 2 S.C.R. 712. 312

Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927. 317

Notes and questions 319

3. PERMISSIBLE LIMITATIONS UNDER SECTION 1 323

(a) Regulation the use of Languages other than French on Commercial Signs in Quebec 323

Ford v. A.G. Quebec, [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712 323

Notes and Questions 326

(b) Regulation of Advertising Aimed at Children: 327

Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (A.-G.). 327

Notes and questions 335

c) Regulation of Professional Advertising: 336

Rocket v. Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 232. 336

Notes and Questions 342

Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission Of Illinois, 109 S.Ct. 3240 (1989). 346

Notes and Questions 355

4. RESTRICTIONS ON SOLICITATION OF HARMFUL/IMMORAL CONDUCT 356

Reference Re ss. 193 and 195.1(1)(c) of the Criminal Code (Man.), [1990] 1 S.C.R. 1123. 356

Notes and Questions 369

Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of Puerto Rico, 106 S. Ct. 2968 (1986). 370

Notes and Questions 375

VOLUME TWO

CHAPTER 11

HATE PROPAGANDA AND RACIST SPEECH IN CANADA

page

1. Introduction 377

2. The Criminal Code Hate Propaganda Provision 378

R. v. Keegstra, [1990] 3 S.C.R. 697 378

Notes and Questions 438

3. The Canadian Human Rights Act 442

Canada (Human Rights Comm.) v. Taylor, [1990] 3 S.C.R. 892 442

Notes and Questions 462

4. The Criminal Code False News Provision 465

R. v. Zundel, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 731 465

Notes and Questions 506

CHAPTER 12

THE EVOLVING AMERICAN APPORACH TO HATE PROPAGANDA LAW

		page
1.	Introduction	509
2.	The Early Approach	
	Beauharnais v. Illinois, 343 U.S. 250 (1952)	509
	Notes and Questions	518
3.	The Current Approach Develops	
	Village of Skokie v. National Socialist Party of America, 366 N.E. (2d) 436 (1977)(Ill. Ap. Ct.)	519
	Village of Skokie v. National Socialist Party of America, 373 N.E. (2d) 21 (1978) (S. Ct. Ill.)	529
	Notes and Questions	531
4.	The Current Approach Applied to Anti-Discrimination Initiatives	
	Doe v. University of Michigan, 721 F. Supp. 852 (1989) (E.D. Mich.)	532
	Notes and Questions	546
5.	The Current Approach and the Disintegration of First Amendment Analysis	
	R.A.V. v. St. Paul, 112 S. Ct. 2538 (1992)	548
	Notes and Questions	572

CHAPTER 13

OBSCENITY AND PORNOGRAPHY

		page
1.	Introduction	573
2.	The Canadian Approach	
	R. v. Butler, [1992] 1 S.C.R. 452	574
	Notes and Questions	604
3.	The American Approach	610
	(a) The General Principle Under the First Amendment	

Roth v. United States, 354 U.S. 476 (1957) 611

Notes and Questions 616

(b) The Distinction Between Obscenity and Pornography

American Booksellers Association v. Hudnut,
771 F. 2d 323 (1985) (7th Cir.) 616

Notes and Questions 623

(c) Applying the Traditional U.S. Approach

Skywalker Records Inc. v. Navarro, S.D. Cir. Ct.
Broward County, Florida, June 6, 1990. 623

Notes and Questions 627

(d) The Distinction Between Possession and Distribution

Osborne v. Ohio, 495 U.S. 103 1989 631

Notes and Questions 640

(e) The Current Approach to Public Nude Dancing
- A New Twist?

Barnes v. Glen Theatre, 498 U.S. 807 1991 641

Notes and Questions 657

5. FEMINIST PERSPECTIVES ON THE REGULATION OF PORNOGRAPHY

Kathleen Mahoney; "Speaking Notes for the Conference on
International Human Rights, Banff, Alta. Nov. 10, 1990 657

Notes and Questions 663

VOLUME THREE

CHAPTER 14

THE VENUE OF EXPRESSION - PART ONE
THE PUBLIC FORUM

page

1. INTRODUCTION 665

Evolution of the U.S. Public Forum Doctrine 667

Lovell v. City of Griffin, 303 U.S. 444 (1938) 667

Notes and Questions 668

Cox v. New Hampshire, 312 U.S. 569 (1941) 669

Notes and Questions 671

Heffron v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness, 452 U.S. 640 (1981). 673

Notes and Questions 678

2. The Canadian Approach 683

Introduction 683

A.G. Canada v. Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada, Factum of the Attorney General for Ontario, Intervenor. 683

Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 139 711

Notes and Questions 759

CHAPTER 15

THE VENUE OF EXPRESSION - PART TWO
THE QUASI PUBLIC FORUM

page

1. Introduction 766

2. The Pre-Charter Canadian Approach 767

Harrison v. Carswell, [1976] 2 S.C.R. 200 767

Notes and Questions 769

3. The Erratic U.S. Position - Amalgamated Food Employees Union Local 590 v. Logan Valley Plaza, 291 U.S. 308 (1968) 769

Notes and Questions 774

4. The Canadian Position Under the Charter 777

Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union Local 580 v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd. et al., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573 777

Notes and Questions — 792

CHAPTER 16

INTRODUCTION TO GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF THE MEDIA

page

1. INTRODUCTION 795

2. REGULATORY FRAMEWORK - PRINT VERSUS BROADCAST 796

Royal Commission on Newspapers (Kent Commission), 1981. 796

A Statement of Principles for Canadian Daily Newspapers. 804

Broadcasting Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-9. 805

Law Reform Commission of Canada - CRTC Study. 809

3. RATIONALES FOR REGULATION 814

a) Spectrum Scarcity: 815

Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. F.C.C., 395 U.S. 367 (1969). 815

Notes and Questions 821

b) Public Property: 823

Re CFRB and Attorney General of Canada et al., [1973] 3 O.R. 819 (Ont. C.A.). 823

Notes and Questions 826

c) Canadian Culture/National Unity: 826

Report of the Task Force on Broadcasting Policy, 1986 (The Caplan-Sauvageau Report). 826

CHAPTER 17

CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE REGULATION OF THE OWNERSHIP AND CONTENT OF THE BROADCAST MEDIA

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	831
2.	DIFFERENTIAL TREATMENT OF THE PRINT AND BROADCAST MEDIA AND CHARTER SECTION 15	831
	M. David Lepofsky, "Open Justice 1990 - The Constitutional Right to Attend and Report on Court Proceedings".	831
3.	THE PROBLEM OF CORPORATE CONCENTRATION IN THE MEDIA	832
	Royal Commission on Newspapers (Kent Commission) 1981; Chapter 14 - Recommendations.	832
	New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. v. C.R.T.C. (1984), 13 D.L.R. (4th) 77 (Fed. C.A.).	834
	Notes and Questions	839
4.	REGULATION OF BROADCAST CONTENT	840
	Federal Communications Commission v. Pacifica Foundation, 438 U.S. 723 (1978).	840
	Notes and questions	852
	National Indian Brotherhood v. Juneau, [1971] F.C. 498 (T.D.).	853
	Les Entreprises de Radiodiffusion de la Capitale Inc. (CHRC Quebec), CRTC Dec. 90-772.	855
	Notes and Questions	865
5.	REGULATING CABLE T.V. SERVICES	866
	Quincy Cable TV v. F.C.C., 768 F. 2d 1434 (1985).	866
	Notes and Questions	876

CHAPTER 18

MEDIA INFRINGEMENTS OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	878
2.	RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE MEDIA	878
3.	RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE BROADCAST MEDIA	879
	Trieger v. Canadian Broadcasting Corp. (1988), 66 O.R. (2d) 273 (H.C.J.).	879
	New Brunswick Broadcasting Co., Ltd. v. CRTC, [1984] 2 F.C. 410 (Fed. C.A.).	881
	Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. F.C.C. 395 U.S. 367 (1969).	882
	Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo 418 U.S. 241, (1974).	885
	Gay Alliance Towards Equality v. Vancouver Sun, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 435.	887
	Notes and Questions	889
4.	CONTROL OVER THE PUBLICATION OR BROADCAST OF INTERVIEWS	892
	Canadian Broadcasting Corporation; Journalistic Policy.	893
	CKOY Ltd. v. the Queen [1979] 1 S.C.R. 2.	894
	Notes and Questions	895
5.	JOURNALISTS' FREEDOM FROM THE PRESS	895
	Notes and Questions	895

CHAPTER 19

THE RIGHT TO ATTEND JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	899

2. THE RIGHT TO ATTEND COURT PROCEEDINGS 900

Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia
100 S.Ct. 2814 (1980). 900

Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court
102 S.Ct. 2613 (1982). 903

Notes and Questions 906

Re Southam Inc. and The Queen (No. 1)
(1983), 146 D.L.R. (3d) 408 (Ont. C.A.). 906

Re Edmonton Journal and A.-G. Alberta
(1983), 146 D.L.R. (3d) 673. 915

Notes and Questions 917

3. RIGHT TO FILM OR COPY COURT EXHIBITS 920

Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.
98 S.Ct. 1306 (1978). 921

The Queen v. Thomson Newspapers, Ltd.
unreported judgement of the Supreme Court
of Ontario, December 8, 1983. 923

London Free Press Printing Co. v. Ontario
(A.-G.) (1988), 66 O.R. (2d) 693 (H.C.J.). 924

Notes and Questions 927

4. TELEVISING COURT PROCEEDINGS 928

R. v. Squires (1986)
50 C.R. (3d) 320 (Ont. Prov. Ct.) 930

R. v. Squires (1989),
69 C.R. (3d) 337 (Ont. Dist. Ct.). 932

R. v. Squires (1992)
78 C.C.C. (3d) 97 (Ont. C.A.) 942

Notes and Questions 945

5. PUBLIC ATTENDANCE AT ADMINISTRATIVE
TRIBUNAL HEARINGS 946

Re Edmonton Journal and A.-G. Alberta
(1983), 5 D.L.R. (4th) 240 (Alta. Q.B.). 947

McKenzie v. Canadian Human Rights Commission (1985), 85 C.L.L.C. 16,144 (F.C.T.D.). 948

Southam Inc. v. Minister of Employment and Immigration, [1987] 3 F.C. 329 (F.C.T.D.). 951

Notes and Questions 952

CHAPTER 20

THE RIGHT TO SPEAK AND PUBLISH ABOUT COURT PROCEEDINGS

page

1. INTRODUCTION 955

2. SUPREME COURT OF CANADA JURISPRUDENCE 956

Canadian Newspapers Co. v. Canada (A.-G.), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 122. 956

Canadian Newspapers Co. Ltd. v. Canada (A.-G.) (1985), 49 O.R. (2d) 557 (Ont. C.A.). 959

Edmonton Journal v. Alberta (A.-G.), [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1326. 962

Notes and Questions 979

3. LOWER CANADIAN COURTS 980

Re Global Communications Ltd. and Canada (A.-G.) (1984), 5 D.L.R. (4th) 634 (Ont. C.A.). 980

Manitoba (A.-G.) v. Groupe Quebecor Inc. (1987), 45 D.L.R. (4th) 80 (Man. C.A.). 984

Notes and Questions 986

4. AMERICAN JURISPRUDENCE 987

Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart 96 S.Ct. 2791 (1976). 988

Cox Broadcasting Corporation v. Cohn 95 S.Ct. 1029 (1975). 991

Notes and Questions 993

CHAPTER 21

THE RIGHT TO CRITICIZE COURTS

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	996
2.	CONTEMPT OF COURT BY SCANDALIZING THE COURT	996
	M. David Lepofsky; Open Justice: The Constitutional Right to Attend and Speak About Criminal Proceedings, at pp. 94-8.	997
	R. v. Kopyto (1987), 62 O.R. (2d) 449 (Ont. C.A.).	998
	Craig v. Harney 67 S.Ct. 1249 (1947).	1006
	Garrison v. Louisiana 85 S.Ct. 209 (1964).	1008
	Notes and Questions	1010
3.	DEMONSTRATIONS ABOUT COURTS	1012
	B.C.G.E.U. v. British Columbia (Attorney General), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 214.	1012
	Cox v. State of Louisiana 85 S.Ct. 476 (1965).	1020
	United States v. Grace 103 S.Ct. 1702 (1983).	1022
	Notes and Questions	1025

VOLUME FOUR

CHAPTER 22

MEDIA RIGHTS REGARDING THE GATHERING OF NEWS

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1031
2.	WHAT IS NEWS?	1031
	Andrew Osler; "A Descriptive Study of Perceptions and Attitudes Among Journalists in Ontario" in The Media Industries: From Where to Here, Vol. 7 of the Ontario Report	

of the Royal Commission on Violence in the Communications Industry, (1977), Hon. Judy LaMarsh, Chairman. 1032

3. WHAT IS A JOURNALIST? 1040

4. REPORTERS' ACCESS TO NEWS SOURCES 1041

a) Protection of Confidential News Sources: 1041

Moysa v. Labour Relations Board (Alberta) (1986), 28 D.L.R. (4th) 140 (Alta. Q.B.). 1041

Moysa v. Alberta (Labour Relations Board) (1987), 52 Alta. L.R. (2d) 193 (C.A.). 1047

Moysa v. Alberta (Labour Relations Board), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1572. 1047

Branzburg v. Hayes 92 S.Ct. 2646 (1972). 1058

Dan Cohen v. Cowles Media Company, DBA Minneapolis Star and Tribune Company, et al. 1991 WL 107401 Supreme Court of the United States 1061

Notes and Questions 1068

b) Protection of a Reporter's Notes and Notebook: 1070

Notes and Questions 1077

c) Protection for the Media Against Search Warrants: 1078

Canadian Broadcasting Corp. v. New Brunswick (Attorney General), [1991] 3 S.C.R. 459 1078

Canadian Broadcasting Corp. v. Lessard, [1991] 3 S.C.R. 421 1079

Democratic National Committee v. McCord 356 F.Supp. 1394 (1973). 1094

Notes and Questions 1095

d) Protection from Theft and other Criminal Prosecution: 1096

Notes and Questions 1096

5. ACCESS TO NEWSWORTHY EVENTS AND LOCATIONS 1097

International Fund for Animal Welfare, Inc. v. Canada, [1988] 3 F.C. 590. 1097

Pell v. Procunier 94 S.Ct. 2800 (1974). 1102

Saxbe v. Washington Post Co. 94 S.Ct. 2811 (1974). 1105

Notes and Questions 1106

6. TELEVISING NEWSWORTHY EVENTS AND LOCATIONS 1108

New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. v. Nova Scotia (Speaker of the House of Assembly) [1993] 1 S.C.R. 319 1108

Notes and Questions 1120

CHAPTER 23

POLITICAL EXPRESSION AND THE ELECTORAL PROCESS

page

1. INTRODUCTION 1123

2. REGULATING CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURES AND CONTRIBUTIONS 1124

(a) The U.S. Position: 1124

Buckley v. Valeo 424 U.S. 1 (1976). 1124

Notes and questions 1134

Bellotti v. Baird 435 U.S. 765 (1978). 1134

Notes and questions 1134

Austin v. Michigan Chamber of Commerce 495 U.S. --- (1990). 1140

Notes and questions 1157

(b) The Canadian Position: 1158

N.C.C. v. A.-G. Canada, [1984] 5 W.W.R. 436. 1158

Notes and questions 1161

Lavigne v. O.P.S.E.U. (1989),
56 D.L.R. (4th) 474 (Ont. C.A.). 1163

Notes and questions 1163

3. REGULATING EXPRESSION DURING ELECTION CAMPAIGNS 1165

Mills v. State of Alabama,
86 S.Ct. 1434 (1966). 1165

Notes and questions 1166

CHAPTER 24

POLITICAL ACTIVITY BY PUBLIC SERVANTS

page

1. INTRODUCTION 1169

2. THE CANADIAN POSITION APART FROM THE CHARTER 1169

Fraser v. P.S.S.R.B., [1985] 2 S.C.R. 455. 1169

3. THE CANADIAN POSITION UNDER THE CHARTER 1172

Osborne v. Canada (Treasury Board),
[1991] 2 S.C.R. 69 1172

Notes and Questions 1192

O.P.S.E.U. v. A.-G. of Ontario (1988),
65 O.R (2d) (H.C.J.). 1192

Notes and Questions 1202

CHAPTER 25

COMPELLED EXPRESSION

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1206
2.	THE CANADIAN POSITION	1206
	Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038.	1206
	Notes and Questions	1218
3.	THE AMERICAN POSITION	1219
	West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette 319 U.S. 624 (1943).	1219
	Notes and Questions	1230
	Wooley v. Maynard 430 U.S. 705 (1977).	1231
	Notes and Questions	1235
	Meese v. Keene 107 S.Ct. 1862 (1987).	1235
	Keller v. State Bar Of California 110 S.Ct. 2228 (1990).	1248
	Notes and Questions	1255

CHAPTER 14

THE VENUE OF EXPRESSION - PART ONE
THE PUBLIC FORUM

page

1. INTRODUCTION 665

Evolution of the U.S. Public Forum Doctrine 667

Lovell v. City of Griffin, 303 U.S. 444 (1938) 667

Notes and Questions 668

Cox v. New Hampshire, 312 U.S. 569 (1941) 669

Notes and Questions 671

Heffron v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness, 452 U.S. 640 (1981). 673

Notes and Questions 678

2. The Canadian Approach 683

Introduction 683

A.G. Canada v. Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada, Factum of the Attorney General for Ontario, Intervenor. 683

Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 139 711

Notes and Questions 759